



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/518,226	12/16/2004	Carl Christensen	PU020288	6699
7590 10/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Joseph S Tripoli			TU, CHRISTINE TRINH LE	
Thomson Licen	sing Inc			
PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2133	
		DATE MAILED, 10/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,226	CHRISTENSEN, CARL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christine T. Tu	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be town  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L	December 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/2004.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office A	6)	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050928				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11, 14-17 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

# Claim 1:

At lines 8-11, due to the confusing phrase of "from said parity check for each one of said N rows of said data block <u>said check</u> for each one of said X columns of said data block...", it is not clear how exactly the at least one error in said data block is being identified.

Which parity check is the "said check" referring to? The parity check for each of the X columns of the data block?

# Claims 2, 14, 15, 20 and 21:

The use of a slash "/" in the term "encoding/decoding" should be avoided. It is not clear whether the encoding or the decoding is being recited.

# Claims 3-11, 16-17 and 22-23:

These claims are rejected because they depend on claim 1, 15 and 21, and contain the same problems of indefiniteness.

Art Unit: 2133

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leitch (5,559,506).

### Claim 1:

Leitch discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Leitch teaches (figures 3 & 5, 8, 12-14) that a data symbol set (730) contains N x Q x R information symbols which include N tiers (740), each tier having R rows by Q columns of information symbols. Each tier is later expanded to (Q + S) x (R + T) by adding S parity symbols to each row and by adding T column parity symbols for each column. Leitch further shows that a communication system comprises a receiving device (206) having a control circuit (206) for performing row parity checking and column parity checking. The control circuit (206) comprises a decoder (950) having a row parity checker (910) for determine whether a parity check of selected data symbols passes or fails. The decoder (950) also has a column parity checker (930) and a symbol corrector (940). The column parity checker (930) determines whether a parity check of the selected data symbols passes or fails. The parity checking results are coupled from the row parity checker (910) and the column parity checker (930) to the symbol corrector (940). The symbol

Art Unit: 2133

correct (940) evaluates a tier of data symbols to correct those which have ambiguous errors (figures 3 & 5, 8, 12-14, column 9 lines 28-44, column 5 lines 33-42, column 15 line 4-column 16 line 56).

Leitch does not explicitly teach the identifying at least one bad byte for the data block. However, Leitch teaches (figure 18) that within each tier (steps 1810, 1880, 1890), the (Q + S) symbols in each of the (R + T) rows are checked for parity errors (step 1820), the (R + T) symbols in each of the (Q + S) columns is checked for parity errors (1830). The symbol corrector (940) determines all possible error patterns which satisfy the row and column parity checking results and identifies which of the possible errors are non-ambiguous or ambiguous and corrects such errors therefrom (steps 1840-1870) (column 18 line 59-column 19 line 26).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that such checking row and column parity errors for each tier would encompass for checking errors for each tier itself. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because Leitch teaches that each tier of the N tiers would expand to (Q + S) columns and (R + T) rows by encoding the tier (figure 5, column 9 lines 35-58).

### Claim 2:

Leitch's communication system (figures 3, 12 & 13) comprises row and column parity encoders (425 & 426) and a decoder (950).

Art Unit: 2133

Leitch does not explicitly teach 8B/10B. Leitch teaches (Q +S) bits of data wherein Q equals 4 and S equals 2. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to realize Leitch's Q could equal to 8 and S could equal to 2. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because (a) Leitch teaches that other values of Q and S may be used (column 9 lines 45-47) and (b) such Leitch's other values are not excluded from the inclusion of the value 8 for Q and therefore (Q+S) = (8+2) = 10.

# Claim 3:

Leitch does not explicit teach 32 rows of data block. Leitch teaches R rows of data wherein R equals 3. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to realize Leitch's R could equal to 32. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because (a) Leitch teaches that other values of R may be used, (column 9 lines 45-47) and (b) such Leitch's other values are not excluded from the inclusion of the value 32.

#### Claim 4:

Leitch's control circuit (206) comprises a decoder (950) having a row parity checker (910) for determine whether a parity check of selected data symbols passes or fails (figures 5, 8, 12-14 & 18, column 15 line 4-column 16 line 56, column 18 line 59-column 19 line 26).

Art Unit: 2133

### Claims 5-6:

Leitch's decoder (950) also has a column parity checker (930) and a symbol corrector (940). The column parity checker (930) determines whether a parity check of the selected data symbols passes or fails. The parity checking results are coupled from the row parity checker (910) and the column parity checker (930) to the symbol corrector (940). The symbol correct (940) evaluates a tier of data symbols to correct those which have ambiguous errors (figures 5, 8, 12-14 & 18, column 15 line 4-column 16 line 56, column 18 line 59-column 19 line 26).

### Claims 7-10:

Claims (7 & 8) and (9-10) are rejected for reasons similar to those set forth against claims (5 & 4) and (5-6), respectively.

### <u>Claim 11:</u>

Leitch teaches that the parity checking results are coupled from the row parity checker (910) and the column parity checker (930) to the symbol corrector (940). The symbol corrector (940) identifies errors determined by the row parity checker (910) and column parity checker (930) as ambiguous errors or non-ambiguous errors. The symbol correct (940) evaluates a tier of data symbols to correct those which have ambiguous errors (figures 3 & 5, 8, 12-14, column 9 lines 28-44, column 5 lines 33-42, column 15 line 4-column 16 line 56).

Art Unit: 2133

# Claims 12 & 13:

Leitch discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Leitch teaches (figures 3 & 5, 8, 12-14) that a data symbol set (730) contains N x Q x R information symbols which include N tiers (740), each tier having R rows by Q columns of information symbols. Each tier is later expanded to (Q + S) x (R + T) by adding S parity symbols to each row and by adding T column parity symbols for each column. Leitch further shows that a communication system comprises a receiving device (206) having a control circuit (206) for performing row parity checking and column parity checking. The control circuit (206) comprises a decoder (950) having a row parity checker (910) for determine whether a parity check of selected data symbols passes or fails. The decoder (950) also has a column parity checker (930) and a symbol corrector (940). The column parity checker (930) determines whether a parity check of the selected data symbols passes or fails. The parity checking results are coupled from the row parity checker (910) and the column parity checker (930) to the symbol corrector (940). The symbol correct (940) evaluates a tier of data symbols to correct those which have ambiguous errors (figures 3 & 5, 8, 12-14, column 9 lines 28-44, column 5 lines 33-42, column 15 line 4-column 16 line 56).

Leitch does not explicitly teach the identifying at least one suspect bit in a data block. However, Leitch teaches (figure 18) that within each tier (steps 1810, 1880, 1890), the (Q + S) symbols in each of the (R + T) rows are checked for parity errors (step 1820), the (R + T) symbols in each of the (Q + S) columns is checked for parity errors (1830). The symbol corrector (940) determines all possible error patterns which

Art Unit: 2133

satisfy the row and column parity checking results and identifies which of the possible errors are non-ambiguous or ambiguous and corrects such errors therefrom (steps 1840-1870) (column 18 line 59-column 19 line 26).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that such checking row and column parity errors for each tier would encompass for checking errors for each tier itself. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because Leitch teaches that each tier of the N tiers expand to (Q + S) columns and (R + T) rows by encoding the tier (figure 5, column 9 lines 35-58).

# Claims 14 and 15:

Leitch's communication system (figures 3, 5, 12 & 13) comprises row and column parity encoders (425 & 426) and a decoder (950) (column 8 lines 45-50, column 9 lines 21-52, column 15 line 4-41).

Leitch does not explicitly teach 8B/10B. Leitch feaches (Q +S) bits of data wherein Q equals 4 and S equals 2. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to realize Leitch's Q could equal to 8 and S could equal to 2. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because (a) Leitch teaches that other values of Q and S may be used (column 9 lines 45-47) and (b) such Leitch's other values are not excluded from the inclusion of the value 8 for Q and therefore (Q+S) = (8+2) = 10.

Art Unit: 2133

### Claims 16-17:

Leitch teaches (figure 18) that the (Q + S) symbols in each of the (R + T) rows are checked for row parity errors (step 1820), the (R + T) symbols in each of the (Q + S) columns are checked for column parity errors (1830). The symbol corrector (940) determines all possible error patterns which satisfy the row and column parity checking results and identifies which of the possible errors are non-ambiguous or ambiguous and corrects such errors therefrom (steps 1840-1870) (column 18 line 59-column 19 line 26, column 15 lines 3-41).

# <u>Claims 18-19:</u>

Leitch does not explicitly teach a pre-selected number of iterations of the error-correction routine to the data block is 2. Leitch, however teaches (figure 12) that for each tier, error correction routine is performed (steps 1810 to 1890). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art would repeatedly process twice (2) for Leitch's error correction routine. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because such repeatedly process Leitch's error correction routine would depend on the necessity of time and the accuracy of the data error correction.

# Claims 20-23:

Claims (20-21) & (22-23) are rejected for reasons similar to those set forth against claims (14-15) & (16-17), respectively.

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine T. Tu whose telephone number is (571)272-3831. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571)272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christine T. Tu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

September 30, 2005